

Issue	Feedback
1. Request for more case studies	1. There are currently over 40 case studies available to students. In addition, some students have provided feedback stating that they feel there are too many case studies available.
2. General discontent with the Core Comprehensive paper	2. All comments included in the report have been given to the Board who will consider all feedback provided.
3. Indicator regarding the funding pitch being a Finance or a Business Leadership issue	3. It is possible that it may have been both. Students are reminded that they will be awarded for dealing with the issues in the case appropriately, regardless of how the issues map back to the "Super Six".
4. Whether audit procedures were required regarding warranties and litigation claims in the Core Comp paper	4. All comments included in the report have been given to the Board who will consider all feedback provided.
5. Uncertainty as to whether or not there were 2 audit indicators on the Core Comp paper leading to a fear that there would be no further opportunity to demonstrate competence in this area	5. CAI has clarified that the policy is not to examine all indicators from one of the "Super Six" within the same case. They can be examined on the same day, but not in the same case study.
6. Concern that recommending that neither IMP system be adopted in relation to Sim 1, Core Sims paper, would be considered "Sitting on the fence"	6. By concluding neither system should be used students are making a recommendation and will not be considered as sitting on the fence, provided the recommendation is a logical and reasoned one.
7. Relevance of the issue in relation to USB keys in Sim 2, Core Sims paper	7. This is a topical issue and CAI and CASSI reminds students that they are required to be aware of, and know how to deal with, relevant business issues.
8. Issue on the Audit paper, Sim 2, regarding uncertainty as to the date	8. All comments included in the report have been given to the Board who will consider all feedback provided.
9. Concern that APM students who were repeating this year were at a disadvantage due to the emphasis on Finance and Management Accounting.	9. No areas were examined that were not on the Competency Statement. APM by it's nature covers a wide range of issues and students should be aware of this.

10. Concern that the VAT indicator in Sim 3 of the Tax (ROI) Paper could have been missed due to its indirect nature

11. Inclusion of the phrase "Not February 2013" rather than "Now February 2013" in the Tax (NI) Paper

12. Car parking charge at the RDS exam centre

10. Students are reminded that not all the indicators are direct in nature.

11. This error was noted on the day and brought to the attention of students who queried this.

12. This charge is imposed by the RDS and is outside of the control of CAI.